## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/817,212	KLEIMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Than Nguyen	2187		

	Than Nguyen	2187			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 ( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee		
Leterisons of utile riley be obtained under 3 of NR 1.1006, "The date of winning regulation under 3 of NR 1.3004, and use a phylophopate Assertion and have been filled it she date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fear. The appropriate extension fe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set for thin (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>\(\text{\text{\$\}\$}\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex{</li></ol>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>45,46 and 50</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16.39,40.47-49,51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Than Nguyen/					
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2187					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed amendments to overcome the previous 112 rejections. However, the proposed amendments of not place all the claims in condition for allowance. For example, claim 47 was amended but he claims in condition for allowance. For example, claim 47 was amended but ment us imment this claim to include the agreed language. This claim would still be rejected under 112. Applicant also added numerous limitations (ex. 2,5.6,7.9,10.13-16.45.50) that have tween to previously considered. There are claims (such as 45.50) that have new 112 problems with vagueness. In short, the amended claims are not ready for allowance due to previous and new issues and/or require new search and consideration of new limitations not previously considered.